Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9385 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:23:45 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 17

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07) EDVA

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)		
(Instructions on Reverse)		(court ese emy)		
PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS			
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORNEYS (If Known)			
PARTY (Check One Box Only)		Check One Box Only)		
□ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin	□ Debtor	☐ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin		
□ Creditor □ Other	□ Creditor	□ Other		
☐ Trustee  CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE	□ Trustee			
NATURE (				
(Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1	, first alternat	ive cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)		
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property		6) – Dischargeability (continued)		
11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference	61-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(5), domestic support 68-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury			
13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer	68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury  63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan			
14-Recovery of money/property - other	64-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support)			
FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien  □ 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property	65-Disch	argeability - other		
FRBP 7001(3) - Approval of Sale of Property	FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay			
31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	71-injunctive relief – imposition of stay  72-Injunctive relief – other			
FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge  41-Objection / revocation of discharge - \$727(c),(d),(e)	FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest  81-Subordination of claim or interest			
EDDD 7004/5) D	□ 61-3u00	difficultion of claim of interest		
FRBP 7001(5) − Revocation of Confirmation  51-Revocation of confirmation		D) Declaratory Judgment uratory judgment		
FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability	FRBP 7001(1	(0) Determination of Removed Action		
☐ 66-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims ☐ 62-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation,		rmination of removed claim or cause		
actual fraud	Other	C 15 U.S.C. 9970 4		
67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny	_	. Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et.seq</i> .  • (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court		
(continued next column)		related to bankruptcy case)		
☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law		this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23		
☐ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$			
Other Relief Sought				

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9385 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:23:45 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 17

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES				
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.		
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING		DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE	
RELATED A	DVERSARY F	PROCEEDING (IF ANY)		
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.	
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDIN	\G	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE	
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)				
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNE	Y (OR PLAINTIFF)	

#### INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, \*unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

**Plaintiffs** and **Defendants.** Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

**Attorneys.** Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

**Demand.** Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

**Signature.** This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

\*Per LBR 7003-1, in the EDVA, a properly completed Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet is required.

James S. Carr, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*) Nicholas J. Panarella, Esq. Martin Krolewski, Esq. Kristin S. Elliott, Esq. KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP 101 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10178 Telephone: (212) 808-7800 Telecopy: (212) 808-7897

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679) TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC 20 North Eighth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 Telephone: (804) 783-8300

Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

In re:	) Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., et al.,	) Chapter 11
Debtors.	) (Jointly Administered)
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING TRUST,	
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS, INC. and CYBER POWER SYSTEMS (USA), INC.,	) ) )
Defendants.	,

THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT TO AVOID AND RECOVER PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS AND OTHER AMOUNTS OWING TO THE ESTATE AND OBJECTION TO CLAIM NOS. 1250, 9984, 14354, 1037, AND 10053

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9385 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:23:45 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 17

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc.

Liquidating Trust (the "Trustee"), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of

Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official

Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the "Plan"), for his complaint and

for his objection to proof of claim numbers 1250, 9984, 14354, 1037, and 10053 (the

"Complaint") against Cyber Power Systems, Inc. and Cyber Power Systems (USA), Inc.

(together, the "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. The Trustee brings this action against Defendants to recover amounts due and owing from Defendants to Circuit City arising from the relationship between the parties. In addition to contractual claims, the Trustee seeks recovery for unjust enrichment and to avoid and recover certain preferential transfers that occurred during the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy proceedings, as well as turnover of property of the bankruptcy estates. Finally, the Trustee objects to Defendants' proofs of claim filed against the Debtors' estates.

#### THE PARTIES

2. The Trustee ("Trustee" or "Plaintiff") is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the "Trust"). Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors' estates.

- 3. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively "Circuit City" or the "Debtors") were corporations that maintained their respective principal places of business in the locations set forth below, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.
- 4. Upon information and belief, defendant Cyber Power Systems, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the country of Taiwan (R.O.C.) with its principal place of business in Taipei, Taiwan.
- 5. Upon information and belief, defendant Cyber Power Systems (USA), Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in Shakopee, Minnesota.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.
  - 7. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
- 8. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc. (n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard. Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

9. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 105, 502, 503, 541, 542, 547, 550, and 558 of title 11, United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

### PERTINENT FACTS

### A. General Case Background

- 10. On November 10, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.
- 11. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the "Creditors' Committee").
- 12. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors' retail locations (the "Stores") pursuant to an agency agreement (the "Agency Agreement") between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the "Agent"). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors' stores were completed.
- 13. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Creditors' Committee filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors' assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, EasternDistrict of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9385 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:23:45 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 17

15. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010 (the "Effective Date").

### B. The Business Relationship Between Circuit City And Defendant

- 16. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.
- 17. Upon information and belief, on May 8, 2006, Circuit City and defendant Cyber Power Systems, Inc. entered into a Master Dealer Agreement, and on June 27, 2006, those parties entered into a Product Addendum (together, the "Agreements"), pursuant to which Defendants sold goods to Circuit City.
- 18. During the course of the parties' relationship, the parties engaged in numerous transactions pursuant to the Agreements, that are reflected in invoices, communications and other documents (collectively, the "Defendants' Agreements").
- 19. Circuit City and Defendants conducted business with one another up to the Petition Date under the Defendants' Agreements.
- 20. During the ordinary course of the Debtors' business, the Debtors maintained books and records of their transactions with Defendant (the "Books and Records").

# C. <u>Amounts Allegedly Owed To Defendant And Defendant's Proofs Of Claim</u>

### (1) <u>Claim No. 1250</u>

21. On or about December 18, 2008, defendant Cyber Power Systems (USA), Inc. filed a claim in the amount of \$49,360.45 as a claim allegedly entitled to priority under

Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as claim number 1250 ("Claim No. 1250").

- 22. Defendants alleged that Claim No. 1250 was based on sales of certain goods by Defendants to Circuit City during the twenty-day period prior to the Petition Date.
- 23. Pursuant to the Court's order on Debtors' Fifty-First Omnibus Objections (Docket No. 6228), Claim No. 1250 has been temporarily disallowed under Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) pending the Court's resolution of Circuit City's allegations that Defendant received the avoidable transfers identified in the Fifty-First Omnibus Objections.

### (2) Claim No. 9984

- 24. On or about January 30, 2009, defendant Cyber Power Systems (USA), Inc. filed a claim (i) in the amount of \$114,938.02 as a general unsecured, non-priority claim (the "General Unsecured Claim") and (ii) in the amount of \$49,360.45 as a secured claim (the "Secured Claim"). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as claim number 9984 ("Claim No. 9984").
- 25. The General Unsecured Claim was filed on account of goods and services allegedly provided to Circuit City prior to the Petition Date.
- 26. Following the entry of an order on Debtors' Fiftieth Omnibus Objection (Docket No. 5830), Claim No. 9984 has been docketed with a General Unsecured Claim in the amount of \$114,938.02 and no administrative claim.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9385 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:23:45 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 17

### (3) Claim No. 14354

- 27. On or about June 30, 2009, defendant Cyber Power Systems (USA), Inc. filed a claim in the amount \$163,686.34 as a general unsecured, non-priority claim. The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as claim number 14354 ("Claim No. 14354").
- 28. Claim No. 14354 was filed on account of goods and services allegedly provided to Circuit City prior to the Petition Date.

### (4) Claim No. 1037

- 29. On or about December 19, 2008, defendant Cyber Power Systems, Inc. filed a claim in the amount of \$36,882.50 as a claim allegedly entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as claim number 1037 ("Claim No. 1037").
- 30. Defendants alleged that Claim No. 1037 was based on sales of certain goods by Defendants to Circuit City during the twenty-day period prior to the Petition Date.
- 31. Pursuant to the Court's order on Debtors' Fifty-First Omnibus Objections (Docket No. 6228), Claim No. 1037 has been temporarily disallowed under Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) pending the Court's resolution of Circuit City's allegations that Defendant received the avoidable transfers identified in the Fifty-First Omnibus Objections.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9385 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:23:45 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 17

### (5) Claim No. 10053

- 32. On or about January 30, 2009, defendant Cyber Power Systems, Inc. filed a claim (i) in the amount of \$122,698.05 as a general unsecured, non-priority claim (the "Second General Unsecured Claim") and (ii) in the amount of \$36,882.50 as a priority claim (the "Priority Claim"). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as claim number 10053 ("Claim No. 10053").
- 33. The Second General Unsecured Claim was filed on account of goods and services allegedly provided to Circuit City prior to the Petition Date.
- 34. Following the entry of an order on Debtors' Twenty-Third Omnibus Objection (Docket No. 4465), Claim No. 10053 has been docketed with a general unsecured claim in the amount of \$122,698.05 and no priority claim.

### D. <u>Transfers To Defendant Made Within 90 Days Prior To The Petition Date</u>

- 35. During the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy cases (the "Preference Period"), Circuit City transferred property to or for the benefit of Defendants in an amount not less than \$499,191.70. A list identifying each and every transfer during the Preference Period is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u> and incorporated herein by reference (collectively, the "Preferential Transfers").
- 36. Plaintiff acknowledges that some of the Preferential Transfers might be subject to defenses under Bankruptcy Code section 547(c), for which the Defendants bear the burden of proof under Section 547(g). Plaintiff will work with Defendants to exchange applicable information in an effort to resolve any and all factual issues with respect to potential defenses.

### **COUNT I**

### AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 547(b)

- 37. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 38. During the Preference Period, Defendants were a creditor of one or more of the Debtors.
- 39. Each Preferential Transfer identified on Exhibit A hereto was made to or for the benefit of Defendants.
- 40. Each Preferential Transfer was made for or on account of an antecedent debt or debts owed by one or more of the Debtors before such Preferential Transfers were made.
  - 41. Each Preferential Transfer was made during the Preference Period.
  - 42. Each Preferential Transfer was made while the Debtors were insolvent.
- 43. Each Preferential Transfer enabled Defendants to receive more than Defendant would have received if (i) the Debtors' chapter 11 cases were instead cases under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; (ii) the transfers and/or payments had not been made; and (iii) Defendant received payment on account of the debt paid by the Preferential Transfers to the extent provided by the Bankruptcy Code.
- 44. Each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b).

### **COUNT II**

### RECOVERY OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 550

- 45. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein
- 46. Defendants were either (i) the initial transferee of the Preferential Transfers, (ii) the entity for whose benefit the Preferential Transfers were made, or (iii) an immediate or mediate transferee of the Preferential Transfers.
- 47. Each Preferential Transfer that is avoided under Section 547(b) is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.
- 48. Subject to potential defenses, the Trustee is entitled to recover the value of the Preferential Transfers pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550(a).

### **COUNT III**

# OBJECTION TO CLAIMS 1250, 9984, 14354, 1037, AND 10053 – DISALLOWANCE UNDER SECTION 502(d)

- 49. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 50. Claim Nos. 1250, 9984 and 14354 assert a right to payment allegedly owed by one or more of the Debtors.
- 51. As alleged above, each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b), which is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.
- 52. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 502(d), Claim Nos. 1250 (only to the extent this claim is not subject to the Court's order on Debtors' Fifty-First

Omnibus Objections (Docket No. 6228)), 9984 and 14354 must be disallowed unless and until Defendant pays to the Trustee an amount equal to each Preferential Transfer that is avoided.

### **COUNT IV**

### **OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 9984 AS OVERSTATED**

- 53. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 9984 is overstated by \$24,248.37 on account of, among other things, missing invoices, paid invoices, RTV discrepancies, and shortages.
- 55. As a result of the above, Claim No. 9984 should be reduced to an amount not to exceed \$90,689.65.

### **COUNT V**

### **OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 14354 AS OVERSTATED**

- 56. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 14354 is overstated by \$53.40 on account of, among other things, invoice shortages.
- 58. As a result of the above, Claim No. 14354 should be reduced to an amount not to exceed \$163,326.99.

### **COUNT VI**

### **OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 1250 AS OVERSTATED**

- 59. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 60. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 1250 is overstated by \$4,923.10 on account of, among other things, duplication and reconciliation with another claim.
- 61. As a result of the above, Claim No. 1250 should be reduced to an amount not to exceed \$44,437.35.

### **COUNT VII**

#### **OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 10053 AS OVERSTATED**

- 62. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 63. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 10053 is overstated by \$6,610.55 on account of, among other things, paid invoices.
- 64. As a result of the above, Claim No. 10053 should be reduced to an amount not to exceed \$116,087.50.

#### **COUNT VIII**

### **OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 1037 AS OVERSTATED**

65. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

- 66. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 1037 is overstated by \$19,825.00 on account of, among other things, paid invoices and amount reconciled with another claim.
- 67. As a result of the above, Claim No. 1037 should be reduced to an amount not to exceed \$17,057.50.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests and prays that the Court:

- i. Pursuant to Counts I and II, enter judgment against Defendant under 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 and 550 and allow the Trustee to avoid and recover the Preferential Transfers in an amount not less than \$499,191.70; and
- ii. Pursuant to Count III, disallow Claim Nos. 1250, 9984, 14354, 1037, and 10053 in accordance with Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) pending payment by Defendant to the Trustee of any avoidable and recoverable transfers; and
- iii. Pursuant to Count IV, reduce Claim No. 9984 from the overstated amount of \$114,938.02 to the proper amount of \$90,689.65; and
- iv. Pursuant to Count V, reduce Claim No. 14354 from the overstated amount of \$163,380.39 to the proper amount of \$163,326.99; and
- v. Pursuant to Count VI, reduce Claim No. 1250 from the overstated amount of \$49,360.45 to the proper amount of \$44,437.35; and
- vi. Pursuant to Count VII, reduce Claim No. 10053 from the overstated amount of \$122,698.05 to the proper amount of \$116,087.50; and
- vii. Pursuant to Count VIII, reduce Claim No. 1037 from the overstated amount of \$36,882.50 to the proper amount of \$17,057.50; and
- viii. Award the Trustee prejudgment interest at the legally allowed applicable rate; and
  - ix. Award the Trustee costs, and expenses of suit herein; and
- x. Grant the Trustee such other and further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia November 10, 2010 TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

### /s/ Lynn L. Tavenner

Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679) 20 North Eighth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 783-8300

- and -

James S. Carr, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*) Nicholas J. Panarella, Esq. Martin Krolewski, Esq. Kristin S. Elliott, Esq. KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP 101 Park Avenue New York, New York 10178 Telephone: (212) 808-7800 Telecopy: (212) 808-7897

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

### **EXHIBIT A**

### (Preferential Transfers)

## Cyber Power Systems (USA), Inc Preference Analysis - Payment detail Exhibit A

Vendor Name	Check Clear Date	Check Number	Pa	aid Amount
CYBERPOWER	8/12/2008	1033440	\$	8,035.42
CYBERPOWER	8/14/2008	1033555	\$	1,699.20
CYBERPOWER	8/19/2008	1033787	\$	5,670.99
CYBERPOWER	8/25/2008	1033889	\$	4,543.10
CYBERPOWER	8/25/2008	1033968	\$	2,963.95
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	9/2/2008	1034110	\$	11,910.10
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	9/16/2008	1034444	\$	9,436.80
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	9/17/2008	1034659	\$	2,678.10
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	9/22/2008	1034778	\$	7,896.30
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	9/22/2008	1035071	\$	12,419.94
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	9/24/2008	1034876	\$	3,401.47
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	9/25/2008	1035185	\$	2,627.50
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	9/29/2008	1035285	\$	1,155.50
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	9/29/2008	1035471	\$	6,105.60
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	10/6/2008	1035830	\$	28,271.25
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	10/7/2008	1035943	\$	7,638.70
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	10/15/2008	1036170	\$	16,103.34
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	10/15/2008	1036291	\$	4,561.60
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	10/20/2008	1036543	\$	6,772.50
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	10/23/2008	1036774	\$	828.52
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	10/23/2008	1036875	\$	7,968.72
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	10/27/2008	1036725	\$	3,190.60
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS USA INC	10/31/2008	1036970	\$	401.10

**Total Payment Amount** \$ 156,280.30

### Cyber Power Systems Inc Preference Analysis - Payment detail Exhibit A

Vendor Name	Check Clear Date	Check Number		Paid Amount
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS	8/14/2008		1342	\$ 140,388.40
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS	9/5/2008		1371	\$ 56,879.10
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS	9/11/2008		1382	\$ 71,850.00
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS	9/30/2008		1406	\$ 11,052.40
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS	10/3/2008		1411	\$ 26,292.80
CYBER POWER SYSTEMS	10/24/2008		1437	\$ 36,448.70

**Total Payment Amount** \$ 342,911.40